

LIST OF TABLES

| | |
|--|-----|
| Table 2-1 Comparison of GA with other optimisation methods..... | 59 |
| Table 2-2 Parameters used for GA..... | 61 |
| Table 3-1 Parameters for real-time model | 67 |
| Table 3-2 Rule base for pendulum angle controller using Mamdani FIS | 69 |
| Table 3-3 Settling time and peak value of pendulum angle for PID controller | 74 |
| Table 3-4 Performance indices for PID controller..... | 74 |
| Table 3-5 Settling time and peak value of pendulum angle for fuzzy logic control | 76 |
| Table 3-6 Performance indices for fuzzy logic control | 76 |
| Table 3-7 Settling time and peak value of pendulum angle for optimized fuzzy logic control | 79 |
| Table 3-8 Performance indices for optimized fuzzy logic control | 79 |
| Table 3-9 Settling time and peak value comparison..... | 81 |
| Table 3-10 Performance indices comparison..... | 81 |
| Table 3-11 settling time comparison of proposed controller with reference controller..... | 83 |
| Table 3-12 settling time comparison of proposed controller with reference controller [95] | 84 |
| Table 3-13 settling time comparison of proposed controller with reference controller [96] | 85 |
| Table 4-1 Parameters of the physical model used | 90 |
| Table 4-2 Rule base for pitch/yaw angle error, rate of change of error and Control signal..... | 93 |
| Table 4-3 Performance indices for PID controller with unit step set-point change | 97 |
| Table 4-4 Performance indices for PID controller with random set-point change | 98 |
| Table 4-5 Performance indices for FLC with dynamically changing set-point | 101 |

| | |
|--|-----|
| Table 4-6 Performance indices for Optimized Fuzzy Logic Controller with unit step set-point change..... | 103 |
| Table 4-7 Performance indices for FLC with dynamically changing set-point | 104 |
| Table 4-8 Performance indices comparison for PID, FLC and optimized FLC for step change in set point | 106 |
| Table 4-9 Comparison of performance indices for PID, FLC and optimized FLC for dynamically changing pitch and yaw angle set-points | 108 |
| Table 4-10 Performance comparison for pitch angle response..... | 111 |
| Table 4-11 Performance comparison for yaw angle response | 111 |
| Table 4-12 Performance comparison for pitch angle response..... | 114 |
| Table 4-13 Performance comparison for yaw angle response | 114 |
| Table 5-1 Parameters of the physical model used | 121 |
| Table 5-2 Rule base for ball position error, rate of change of error and Control signal | 122 |
| Table 5-3 Performance indices for PID controller with unit step set-point change | 125 |
| Table 5-4 Performance indices for PID controller with sinusoidal set-point change | 126 |
| Table 5-5 Performance indices for PID controller with unit step set-point change | 127 |
| Table 5-6 Performance indices for FLC with sinusoidal set-point change.... | 127 |
| Table 5-7 Performance indices for optimized PID controller with unit step set-point change | 128 |
| Table 5-8 Performance indices for PID controller with sinusoidal set-point change | 129 |
| Table 5-9 Error indices comparison for PID, FLC and optimized FLC for step changes in desired position | 130 |
| Table 5-10 Error indices comparison for PID, FLC and optimized FLC for step changes in desired position..... | 131 |
| Table 5-11 Performance comparison for step changes in desired ball position | 132 |

| | |
|---|-----|
| Table 5-12 Performance comparison for step changes in desired ball position | 134 |
|---|-----|