

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1 Generalized geological map of the Himalaya with major litho-tectonic divisions (modified after Searle et al., 2003 and Law, 2004). Red colour rectangular box indicates the study area.	2
Figure 1.2 General cross section of major thrusts and upper crustal features in the NW Himalaya (modified after Godin & Harris, 2014). HFT: Himalayan Frontal Thrust, MBT: Main Boundary Thrust, MCT: Main Central Thrust, STD: South Tibetan Detachment, MHT: Main Himalayan Thrust.	3
Figure 1.3 Geological map of the study area along with its regional geology and major tectonics features (modified after Vannay & Grasemann, 2001; Vannay et al., 2004 and Thakur & Rawat, 1992). MT: Munsiri Thrust, VT: Vaikrita Thrust, LKRW: Larji-Kulu-Rampur Window, LP: Leo Pargil, ITSZ: Indus Tsangpo Suture Zone and KCF: Kaurik Chango Fault. Red colour rectangular box indicates the study area.	5
Figure 2.1(a) Simplified ray diagram of the P-to-S (Ps) converted phase and its crustal multiples (PpPs, PpSs + PsPs) for a simple earth model with one layer and (b) the corresponding theoretical receiver function.	20
Figure 2.2 Illustration of water level deconvolution showing (a) amplitude spectrum with water level highlighted by blue colour, (b) amplitude spectrum after water level deconvolution.	24
Figure 2.3 Comparison of RFs computed using (a) Water-level deconvolution and (b) Iterative deconvolution technique.	27
Figure 2.4 Ray diagrams showing the rotation of ZNE to ZRT coordinate system. (a) ZNE coordinate system (b) ZRT coordinate system.	29
Figure 2.5 Ray paths of direct P-wave (Pp phase) and converted Ps wave for a simplified layer over a half space.	32
Figure 2.6 Stacking of individual RRFs of teleseismic earthquakes. The individual RFs used for stacking are shown by black waveforms and the stacked RF is shown by red waveform at the top. The waveforms shown by dashes represent $\pm 1SD$ bounds.	34
Figure 2.7 Ray diagrams of (a) Common Conversion Point (CCP) and Common Midpoint (CMP) along with (b) propagation of a teleseismic P-wave for a simple earth model.	35
Figure 2.8 Voronoi cells with (a) 10 random sample points, (b) 100 sample points, (c) 1000 sample points and (d) Contours of the test objective function (Sambridge, 1999a).	40
Figure 2.9 Velocity model parameterization for RF inversion indicating six different layers having thickness of layer (H), shear wave velocity at the top and bottom of the layer (V_{s1} and V_{s2}) and V_p/V_s for each layer. The arrow marks the Moho discontinuity.	42

Figure 3.1 Components of a seismograph system (a) seismometer (b) DAS (c) Global Positioning System (GPS), power supply unit comprising (d) solar panel and (e) battery.....	46
Figure 3.2 Frequency response curves (a) amplitude and (b) phase for Trillium-240 seismometer where the nominal ground motion frequency response of the seismometer is shown by a solid red line and the calibration input circuit response and the sensor calibration response are shown by dashed green and blue line, respectively.	47
Figure 3.3 (a) Vertical component seismogram recorded at KGHT station and (b) corresponding noise spectrum observed at recording site.....	51
Figure 3.4 Cartoon showing seismological Pit and Hut construction at seismological site.....	52
Figure 3.5 Field setup of a campaign mode seismological station equipped with Trillium 240 seismometer, Taurus digitizer and battery.....	53
Figure 3.6 Location of BBS stations of both LSAT and USAT networks are shown by red and blue triangles, respectively.....	55
Figure 4.1 Location of spatial distribution of BBS stations installed in the area. Red and yellow triangles represent BBS stations of the present study and stations used by Caldwell et al., (2013), respectively. The line marked as AB and CD shows two profiles along which CCP stacking were performed.....	60
Figure 4.2 Red marked circles showing the spatial distribution of 300 teleseismic earthquakes having body wave magnitude (M_b) > 5.5 and epicentral distance of 30° - 90° , considered for RF analysis for the current study. Blue triangle at the centre shows network centre.....	61
Figure 4.3 Radial and tangential RFs as a function of BAZ at (a) BHAG and (b) KGHT. The upper panel shows corresponding stacked RFs of radial component (RRF-stack).....	62
Figure 4.4 Synthetic RFs corresponding to (a) simple velocity model, (b) model with surface low-velocity layer (S-LVL) and (c) with intra-crustal low-velocity layer (IC-LVL).....	63
Figure 4.5 RRFs of (a) RMGR, (b) CKKN, (c) GARH (d) SADH stations in increasing back azimuth plotted with equal spacing. Station code is shown at the top right corner of each plot. The right panel at each station shows BAZ (red dots) and Δ (blue dots) corresponding to the individual RRFs.	66
Figure 4.6 Spatial distributions of BBS stations used in H-k stacking shown by red triangles. Blue triangles indicate the BBS stations used for similar study previously by Hazarika et al. (2013).....	69
Figure 4.7 H-k stacking analysis at KGHT station for selected RF data. The RFs used for H- k stacking analysis is shown in (a) with their stack RF at the top along with + 1 SD bound computed during stacking. The Moho converted Ps2 phases is marked by yellow line and its multiples are marked by grey lines at ~19-26 s. The grey line at 0 s	

shows direct P arrival (Pp phase). The results of H-k stacking analysis using Ps2 phase and its multiples are shown in (b). The best estimated H and k values are indicated by the center of the red error ellipse.....70

Figure 4.8 H-k stack results at nine stations showing Vp/Vs or k and crustal thickness (H) and their corresponding Poisson’s ratio (σ) values at each station. The best estimated H and k values are indicated by the centre of the red error ellipse.....71

Figure 4.9 Moho crosssection through Satluj valley projected on AB profile as depicted in Figure 4.6 indicating variation in crustal thickness (H) and Poisson’s ratio (σ). Red dots with serial numbers represent the obtained Moho’s depth of stations as listed in Table 4.1. The blue dot represents the results at six stations obtained from previous study Hazarika et al. (2013). The range of Poisson’s ratios is marked. The upper section shows the topographic variation along the AB profile of Figure 4.6....73

Figure 4.10 RF inversion using NA algorithm at KGHT station. (a) RRF in a narrow epicenter and BAZ range, as mentioned in the top right corner. (b) The grey area indicates the range of models (40,020 models), the green area indicates the best 1000 models with a minimum error between observed and computed RFs. The best fitting and average models are indicated by the red and white line, respectively. The best fitting Vp/Vs model (red line) is shown on the left side. The H1 and H2 are the inferred depth of the MHT and Moho discontinuity, respectively. (c) Stacked observed RF (blue waveform) and synthetic RF (red waveform) obtained from the NA inversion with \pm SD bounds (black dashed line).....77

Figure 4.11a Shear wave velocity models acquired from NA modeling at BHAG, RMGR, CKKN, GARH, KGHT and SADH stations. The Moho and the MHT are marked by black and blue arrows respectively. Synthetic RF (red waveform) obtained from NA inversion is shown with ± 1 SD bounds in lower panel at each station.....78

Figure 4.11b Shear wave velocity models acquired from NA modeling at DIGA, RMPR, BNJR, SARA, PULG and TAPR stations. The Moho and the MHT are marked by black and blue arrows, respectively..79

Figure 4.11c Shear wave velocity models acquired from NA modeling at RACK, SPLO, LOSR, MUDH, KAZA and HURL stations. The Moho and the MHT are marked by black and blue arrows, respectively80

Figure 4.12 The Common Conversion Point depth migrated RF image for two different frequencies with Gaussian widths (a) 2.0 and (b) 5.0 along the A-B profile (Fig. 4.1). The consistent positive polarity shown by red signals of CCP image as well as projected Moho depths estimated by NA inversion (black dots) and the MHT obtained by NA method is shown by yellow dots.....83

Figure 4.13 The Common conversion point depth migrated RF image for two different frequencies with Gaussian width (a) 2.0 and (b) 5.0 along the C-D profile (Fig. 4.1). The consistent positive polarity shown by red signal of CCP image as well as projected Moho depths estimated by NA method (shown by black dots) and the MHT is shown by yellow dots show good agreement with each other from KGHT to LOSR along the C-D profile irrespective of frequency used.....84

Figure 4.14 A cross section along the A-B transect marked in Figure 5.1 with different litho-tectonic units indicating the gently dipping MHT a ramp beyond the STD and gradual dipping of the Moho from south to north.	85
Figure 4.15 (a) Topographic map with tectonic features as described in Figure 4.1, showing two seismological profiles AA' (present study) and BB' (Caldwell et al. 2013). About 25 km wide and 220 km long swath averaged minimum, mean, and maximum elevation profiles obtained using SRTM DEM data (30 m resolution) along AA' and BB' profiles as shown in (b) and (c), respectively.	92
Figure 4.16 Distribution of earthquakes ($M > 3.0$) in the NW Himalaya for the period of 1960 – April, 2017 are shown in relation to major tectonic features existing in the region. The tectonic features are same as mentioned in Figure 4.1. The red star indicates epicentre of 1905 Kangra earthquake (Middlemiss 1910; Ambraseys & Bilham, 2000) and the shaded area shows the rupture area of 1905 Kangra earthquake modified after Wallace et al., (2005).....	94
Figure 5.1 Shear wave splitting of S-wave into two orthogonally polarised fast and slow shear wave propagating in an anisotropic medium.	97
Figure 5.2 A schematic representation of stresses acting on a solid elastic block with normal and shear stresses.....	100
Figure 5.3 Illustration of extensive-dilatancy anisotropy with the distribution of stress-aligned fluid-saturated vertical cracks.	104
Figure 5.4 Illustration of two types of anisotropy in the crust; stress induced anisotropy adjacent to fault zone where fast shear (S)-wave polarized with fast direction parallel to maximum stress direction (SHmax) and structural induced anisotropy within fault zone where fast shear (S)-wave polarised parallel to fault fabric (modified after Bones & Zoback, 2006).....	105
Figure 5.5 Locations of BBS stations used in the Ps splitting study shown by red triangles.....	107
Figure 5.6 Spatial distribution of teleseismic earthquakes (red dot) used for Ps splitting study where blue triangle at the center shows network center.	108
Figure 5.7 Example of radial and tangential components of RFs at KAZA station. A strong positive arrival is observed at ~ 7.0 s (marked by dotted red line) at radial and tangential components within a BAZ range $44^\circ - 85^\circ$	109
Figure 5.8 Example of Ps splitting of a teleseismic earthquake recorded at KAZA station using cross-correlation method. (a) Radial and tangential components of RF with Ps phase window marked by A and F, (b) Ps phase window before and after the correction for the anisotropy, and (c) the corresponding particle motion. The splitting parameters are, Φ : -30° and δt : 0.5 s.....	110
Figure 5.9 Examples of radial and tangential components of RFs of 6 stations located at different tectonic units (a) BNJR in LHS, (b) RMPR and (c) SARA in LHCS, (d)	

TAPR, (e) PULG, in HHCS and (f) SPLO in TH. The strong P_s is marked by dotted red line both in RRFs and TRFs with respective BAZ. 121

Figure 5.10 Results of individual splitting measurements (Φ , δt) at each of the 13 stations (red triangles) .The lower right panel shows a key to represent the plotting at individual stations. The delay time ranges from 0.15 to 0.80 s..... 122

Figure 5.11 Distribution of average fast polarization direction (Φ) at each station (red triangles) estimated through P_s phase splitting. The lower right inset shows the scale of delay time (δt)..... 123

Figure 5.12 Locations of samples collected from Satluj valley (modified after Caddick et al., 2007). 125

Figure 5.13 Photograph showing the cylindrical cores samples. 126

Figure 5.14 Ultrasonic velocity testing system comprises of high energy ultrasonic interface and transducers used in laboratory at room temperature and pressure conditions. 127

Figure 5.15 (a) The waveforms of reference and test signal for delay time measurement (b) Reference P - wave with delay time is 21 microseconds and (c) reference S -wave with delay time is 36.17 microseconds..... 129

Figure 5.16 Variation in seismic velocities measurements in slates, gneisses, granites and quartzites (a) V_p measured along and across the foliation, (b) V_s measured along and across the foliation 132

Figure 5.17 Variation of seismic anisotropy coefficient for P-wave (A_p) and S-wave (A_s) in slates, gneisses, granites and quartzites. 132