

LIST OF FIGURES

| Figure | Description | Page No. |
|---------------|--|-----------------|
| Figure 1.1 | U.S. Energy Production by Fuel, 1980-2040. | 2 |
| Figure 1.2 | U.S. Dry Natural Gas Production. | 3 |
| Figure 1.3 | Shale Reservoirs in Lower 48 States. | 3 |
| Figure 1.4 | Increase in production and well count in the Barnett shale from 1990 to 2010. | 4 |
| Figure 1.5 | Permeability of Nano-Darcy for Shale Gas Reservoirs. | 4 |
| Figure 1.6 | Core Sample from Barnett Shale. | 5 |
| Figure 1.7 | Pictorial Representation of Horizontal Drilling and Multi-Stage Hydraulic Fracturing. | 9 |
| Figure 1.8 | Four Countries Producing Commercial Volumes of Shale Gas and Tight Oil Reservoirs. | 9 |
| Figure 2.1 | Gas Shale Storage and Flow Capacity Diagram Showing pore type, flow type, dominant particle motion within a given flow regime. Ion-milled SEM image of Devonian gas shale. | 14 |
| Figure 2.2 | Comparison of Gas Flow (a) in micro pores where the flow is no slip and (b) in nano pores where the flow is slip. | 15 |
| Figure 2.3 | Schematic of gas molecule locations in a small part of a kerogen grain pore system of a mudrock. | 15 |
| Figure 2.4 | Gas Evolution and Production in Shale Gas Sediments at different length Shales. | 16 |
| Figure 2.5 | Error caused by neglecting the contribution of slip flow on productivity of fractured horizontal wellbore. | 17 |
| Figure 2.6 | Schematic of Quad Porosity Model. | 17 |
| Figure 2.7 | Sketch of fractured horizontal well in a shale gas reservoir and the convergence of flow around the well. | 18 |
| Figure 2.8 | Effective fracture concept for multiply fractured horizontal well in an unconventional tight reservoir. | 19 |
| Figure 2.9 | Trilinear Flow Model | 20 |
| Figure 2.10 | Trilinear model match of the field data | 21 |
| Figure 2.11 | Trilinear Model Effect of Outer Reservoir | 22 |
| Figure 2.12 | Trilinear Model Effect of Outer Reservoir A- $\rho_f=0.8$ f/ft, B- $\rho_f=2$ f/ft | 22 |
| Figure 2.13 | Trilinear Model-Effect of inner Reservoir Matrix Permeability $k_m=1E-8$ mD until $k_m=1E-1$ | 23 |
| Figure 2.14 | Trilinear Model-Effect of Inner Reservoir Matrix Permeability between Unconventional reservoir vs homogeneous tight conventional reservoir. | 23 |
| Figure 2.15 | Explanation of Dual Porosity Model | 25 |
| Figure 2.16 | Discretization of Matrix Blocks: a. MINC, b. Dual Porosity Model. | 26 |
| Figure 2.17 | Illustration of Flow in Dual Porosity Model and Dual Permeability Model. | 27 |

| | | |
|---------------|---|----|
| Figure 3.1 | Reservoir Model representing the flow of gas from matrix to hydraulic fractures and from hydraulic fracture to well bore. | 31 |
| Figure 3.2 | Schematic representation of gas flow from matrix to fracture. | 32 |
| Figure 3.3 | Schematic view of the 3D Reservoir. | 39 |
| Figure 3.4 | Discretization and notation indication for a 3D pressure equation. | 40 |
| Figure 3.5 | Schematic Representation of wellbore in the 5 th Layer from top. | 42 |
| Figure 3.6 | Algorithm representing the procedure for solving the gas flow in the matrix. | 45 |
| Figure 4.1 | Schematic flow of gas in the hydraulic fracture. | 46 |
| Figure 4.2 | Discretization and notation indication for a 2D pressure equation for Matrix. | 50 |
| Figure 4.3 | Discretization and notation indication for a 2D pressure equation for Hydraulic Fracture. | 55 |
| Figure 4.4(a) | Schematic representation of a Single Hydraulically Fractured Reservoir. | 58 |
| Figure 4.4(b) | Schematic representation of a Two Hydraulically Fractured Reservoir. | 58 |
| Figure 4.4(c) | Schematic representation of a Three Hydraulically Fractured Reservoir. | 58 |
| Figure 4.4(d) | Schematic representation of a Four Hydraulically Fractured Reservoir. | 59 |
| Figure 4.5 | Algorithm representing the procedure for solving the gas flow in the hydraulic fractures. | 62 |
| Figure 5.1 | Shale reservoir with horizontal wellbore and no hydraulic fractures. | 63 |
| Figure 5.2 | Individual matrix block pressure variation with time. | 64 |
| Figure 5.3 | Gas flow rate variation with respect to time in different matrix blocks. | 65 |
| Figure 5.4 | Schematic representation of shale reservoir with horizontal wellbore and four Hydraulic fractures. | 65 |
| Figure 5.5 | Pressure variation at different block of hydraulic fractured zone with respect to time. | 66 |
| Figure 5.6 | Gas flow rate variation with respect to time in different fractured blocks. | 67 |
| Figure 5.7 | Gas flow rate variation with time at different Hydraulic Fracture Stages. | 68 |
| Figure 6.1 | Reservoir Model with a horizontal well bore and Hydraulic fractures in middle of the reservoir. | 69 |
| Figure 6.2 | 3D View of the Reservoir Model | 70 |
| Figure 6.3 | Simulation result for the reservoir model for Gas Rate on daily basis. | 71 |
| Figure 6.4 | Simulation result for the reservoir model for Gas Rate on Monthly basis. | 71 |

| | | |
|-------------|---|----|
| Figure 6.5 | Pressure Distribution of Layer 5 after 1 Year Production. | 72 |
| Figure 6.6 | Pressure Distribution of Layer 5 after 3 Years Production. | 72 |
| Figure 7.1 | Box plot of Collected Matrix Porosity. | 74 |
| Figure 7.2 | Histogram of Matrix Porosity Data. | 74 |
| Figure 7.3 | Effect of Matrix Porosity on Cumulative Gas Production. | 75 |
| Figure 7.4 | Effect of Matrix Porosity on Rate of Gas Production. | 75 |
| Figure 7.5 | Effect of Matrix Porosity on Reservoir Pressure. | 76 |
| Figure 7.6 | Box plot of Langmuir Pressure Data. | 76 |
| Figure 7.7 | Histogram Representation of Langmuir Pressure Data. | 77 |
| Figure 7.8 | Effect of Langmuir Pressure on Cumulative Gas Production. | 77 |
| Figure 7.9 | Effect of Langmuir Pressure on Rate of Gas Production. | 78 |
| Figure 7.10 | Effect of Langmuir Pressure on Reservoir Pressure. | 78 |
| Figure 7.11 | Box plot of Langmuir Volume Data. | 79 |
| Figure 7.12 | Histogram of Langmuir Volume Data. | 79 |
| Figure 7.13 | Effect of Langmuir Volume on Cumulative Gas Production. | 80 |
| Figure 7.14 | Effect of Langmuir Volume on Rate of Gas Production. | 80 |
| Figure 7.15 | Effect of Langmuir Volume on Reservoir Pressure. | 81 |
| Figure 7.16 | Effect of number of hydraulic fractures on cumulative gas production. | 81 |
| Figure 7.17 | Effect of number of hydraulic fractures on rate of gas production. | 82 |
| Figure 7.18 | Effect of number of hydraulic fractures on reservoir pressure. | 82 |
| Figure 7.19 | Impact of Hydraulic Fracture Permeability on Cumulative Gas Production. | 83 |
| Figure 7.20 | Impact of Hydraulic Fracture Permeability on Rate of Gas Production. | 84 |
| Figure 7.21 | Impact of Hydraulic Fracture Permeability on Average Reservoir Pressure. | 85 |
| Figure 7.22 | Impact of Hydraulic Fracture Width on Cumulative Gas Production. | 86 |
| Figure 7.23 | Impact of Hydraulic Fracture Width on Rate of Gas Production. | 86 |
| Figure 7.24 | Impact of Hydraulic Fracture Width on Average Reservoir Pressure. | 87 |
| Figure 8.1 | Variation of Gas Flow Rate (Mscf/Day) Vs Time (DAYS). | 88 |
| Figure 8.2 | Variation of Gas Flow Rate (Mscf/Day) Vs Time (DAYS). | 89 |
| Figure 8.3 | Variation of Gas Flow Rate (Mscf/Day) Vs Time (DAYS). | 90 |
| Figure 8.4 | Variation of Gas Flow Rate (Mscf/Day) Vs Time (DAYS). | 91 |
| Figure 8.5 | Variation of Gas Flow Rate (Mscf/Day) Vs Time (DAYS). | 92 |
| Figure 8.6 | Variation of Gas Flow Rate (Mscf/Day) Vs Time (DAYS). | 93 |
| Figure 8.7 | The graph of cumulative production versus time at different fracture stages | 94 |
| Figure 8.8 | The pressure variations in reservoir versus time at different fracture stages | 94 |