

**Subject: Professional Ethics, Accountancy for Lawyers and Bar-Bench Relations**

**Batch: B.Tech.,L.L.b., (Cyber Laws) & (IPR)**

**Semester: XII**

**Course Code: LLBL531**

**SECTION A: (5\*6 = 30 Marks) (30-50 Words)**

1. Define the term Contempt of Court
2. Define the term Legal Practitioner as per the Advocates Act, 1961
3. Define Bar Council of India as per the Advocates Act, 1961.
4. State the duties of the Lawyers towards the Court
5. Which provision of the Advocates Act, 1961 provides for establishment of the State Bar Councils? What are its functions?
6. State the Liability of Lawyers under the Consumer Protection Act, 2019.

**SECTION B: Attempt any Five. (5\*10 = 50 Marks) (100-150 Words)**

7. Write in detail about the development of Legal Profession in India.
8. Elaborate on Certificate of Enrolment? Under what provision of the Advocates Act, 1961 is it issued.
9. Which provision states the exception to the law of Right to Practice laid down under section 29 of the Advocates Act? Elaborate the exception.
10. Can a Judge be held guilty under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971? Support your answer with the help of case laws.
11. Can a Visiting Faculty both teach and practice Law? Discuss with the help of case laws.
12. Critically analyze the Bar-Bench Relations.

**SECTION C: (20 Marks) (300-500 Words)**

13. On April 21, 2009 at around 4:30 AM, a speeding vehicle crashed through a Police check-post on one of the roads in Mumbai, killed 9 people including 4 Police officers, and injured 1 person. According to the prosecution, one Mr. James Bond who, in an inebriated state, was driving a black Aston Martin Vanquish car at a very high speed that caused the accident. A former member of the Rajya Sabha, K.D. Divan and a Public Prosecutor, J.M. Sinha had been caught in a sting operation conducted by ABP News, when they were trying to convince the chief witness A.B. Mahajan to withdraw his statement in the case. A Series of Videotapes were aired showing collusion between the two while they were attempting to tutor the testimony. Taking suo motu cognizance, the Bombay High Court on May 3, 2012 instituted a contempt proceeding against the lawyers and in exercise of powers under Article 215 of the Constitution of India prohibited them from appearing before the High Court and Subordinate Courts for 6 months. The two lawyers under section 19(1) of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, appealed this.

The Issues before the Court are-

1. Whether the conviction of the two appellants for committing criminal contempt of the court is justified and sustainable?

2. The declining professional standards among lawyers.

Decide with the help of Case Laws